

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,117		02/01/2002	Thomas Hofler	P/2107-186	9525
2352	7590	04/07/2006		EXAMINER	
		ABER GERB & SO	ECHELMEYER, ALIX ELIZABETH		
		THE AMERICAS 100368403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1745	
				DATE MAILED: 04/07/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/936,117	HOFLER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alix E. Echelmeyer	1745	<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 2	21 200 <u>5</u> .		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-10 and 14-42</u> is/are pending in the	e application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-10, and 14-42</u> is/are rejected			
7) Claim(s) <u>4,8, 9, 28, 30, 35, and 36</u> is/are object 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
ordining are subject to restriction and of	Clockon requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	, , , , , ,		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			- 44.0
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-15.	۷.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	€
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/936,117

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed on 10/21/2005. Claims 1, 6, 22, 30, 36, and 37 have been amended. Claims 1, 3-10, and 14-42 are pending and are rejected for reasons given below.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 17 and 37 are no longer objected to because appropriate corrections have been made. Corrections made to claim 30 are noted but claim 30 is objected to for another reason listed below.
- 3. Claim 36 is objected to because of the following informality:
 In claim 36, "bundles of carbon fibers, together with metal wires" should be
 "bundles of carbon fibers together with metal wires".

Appropriate correction is required.

4. Claims 4, 8, 9, 28, 30, 35, and 36 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claims, or amend the claims to place the claims in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claims in independent form. Claim 1, on which all of the listed claims are dependent, defines two types of bundles of which the braid can be made: bundles of carbon fibers or bundles of metal wires. The language used in claims 4, 8, 9, 28, 30, 35, and 36, "bundles of carbon fibers and/or metal wires" makes the following types of bundles: bundles of carbon fibers, bundles of metal wires,

Application/Control Number: 09/936,117 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

bundles of carbon fibers braided with bundles of metal wires, or bundles containing both carbon fibers and metal wires. Since claims 4, 8, 9, 28, 30, 35, and 36 are broader than claim 1, on which they are all dependent, they fail to further limit the subject matter of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Rejections of claims 6, 22, and 36 are withdrawn due to applicants' corrections.
- 7. Claims 1, 3-10, and 14-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, specifically the phrase "bundles of one of carbon fibers and metal wires", the improper phrasing of the Markush group renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear which members of the group are part of the claimed invention.

Markush groups must be stated in the alternative, of which one acceptable form is "...selected from the group consisting of A, B and C." See MPEP § 2173.05(h).

Claims depending from claims rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph are also rejected for the same.

Art Unit: 1745

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 1, 4-7, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20-28, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lawson et al. (US Patent No. 4,420,544) and as evidenced by Datasheet for Tubular Braids ([online]. Omegaflex, Inc.-Manufacturers of Flexible Metal Hose and Braid Products. [retrieved on 2004-05-09]. Retrieved from the Internet: <URL: http://www.omegaflex.com/braid>).

Lawson et al. disclose a tubular fuel cell (tubular composite) comprising an openended ion-exchange hollow fibers (the layer of ion-selective membrane such as NAFION which is a perfluorosulfonic acid polymer) having a layer of catalyst deposited on the inner surface thereof and a first current collector in contact with the catalyst layer (see abstract; col. 4, lines 40-68, col. 6, lines 42-55 and Figure 1).

The inside diameter of the tubular composite is generally from 0.5 mm to 5.0 mm and fibers having diameters as small as 0.2 mm are available (col. 4, lines 40-45).

The first current collector is formed of a non-reactive metallic wire material and may be a braided wire mesh sleeve that can be easily slipped into the interior surface of the fine filamentary hollow fiber tube and the sleeve will expand into intimate contact with the catalytic electrode surface (col. 4, lines 1-19). Lead wire 28 connects the first current collector to terminal 30 (col. 3, lines 60-67). As seen in Figure 1, the axis of the metal wire 28 is oriented parallel to a longitudinal direction of the tubular fuel cell and in

Application/Control Number: 09/936,117

Art Unit: 1745

contact with the current collector. Though only a single tubular composite is shown in the figure of the reference, multiple tubular composites can be attached to a common gas header by potting the ends of the tube into adhesive and inserting them into a funnel shaped member and these multiple tubular composites can be inserted into a single body of electrolyte and may be connected in series or in parallel (col. 4, lines 11-39).

Although the Lawson et al. reference does not explicitly disclose that the braided wire mesh sleeve comprises a braid comprising bundles of metallic wires, the process of braiding metallic wire to form hollow metallic sleeves inherently involves braiding more than one metal wire at a time such that more than one metal wire reads on bundle of metallic wires. As evidenced by Datasheet for Tubular Braids ([online]. Omegaflex, Inc.-Manufacturers of Flexible Metal Hose and Braid Products. [retrieved on 2004-05-09]. Retrieved from the Internet: <URL: http://www.omegaflex.com/braid>), the data sheet states that a tubular braid is manufactured by grouping single wires and then braiding them into an intricate pattern.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on October 21, 2005 have been fully considered but 10. they are not persuasive. Regarding applicants' argument that Lawson et al. does not teach electron-conducting means using both carbon fibers and metal wires, claim 1 of the application as it is presently entered is understood by the examiner to be written in the alternative. Thus, either carbon fibers or metal wires could be used to make the

Application/Control Number: 09/936,117

Art Unit: 1745

braid. Lawson et al. teach the use of braided metal wires as an electron-conducting material.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "an evenly distributed and constant force is exerted on the individual layers of which the composite is comprised") are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Regarding applicants' argument that Lawson et al. does not emphasize the use of a braid over the use of a spiral for either the outer or the inner current collector, Lawson et al. actually does say that a braid is preferable for the inner current collector (col. 4, lines 3-5). Further, a reference does not need to state that one shape is preferable to another; as long as a reference mentions a shape, then it discloses the use of that shape.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/936,117 Page 7

Art Unit: 1745

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alix E. Echelmeyer whose telephone number is 571-272-1101. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Alix E Echelmeyer Examiner Art Unit 1745